

---

# Affine Transformations, Polynomials, and Proportionality

---

Timothy G. Feeman and Osvaldo Marrero

---

In the articles [1] and [3], standard tools and techniques of calculus are used to establish a variety of proportionality results concerning areas defined by the lines tangent to a cubic curve, and by the lengths of certain arcs of a parabola, where the arcs themselves are determined by an area-proportionality criterion. We demonstrate here that these results can be viewed as consequences of some basic facts about affine transformations in the plane.

**1. AFFINE TRANSFORMATIONS.** A splendid source of information about affine transformations is Appendix A of [2]; here are the properties we need.

**Definition.** An *affine transformation* of the plane is a function of the form  $T(x, y) = (ax + by + e, cx + dy + f)$ , where  $a, b, c, d, e$ , and  $f$  are constants and  $ad - bc$  is not 0.

**Properties.** [2, Appendix A] An affine transformation  $T$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $T$  takes lines to lines and parallel lines to parallel lines; that is, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line, then  $T(\mathcal{L})$  is also a line, and, if two lines  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  have the same slope, then the lines  $T(\mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $T(\mathcal{L}_2)$  also have the same slope (not necessarily the same slope as the original lines).
- (ii) Segments of parallel lines are stretched or compressed in length by the same factor, which depends only on the slope of the segments; that is, if  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  are segments of parallel lines, then there is a constant  $\alpha$ , depending only on the slope of the segments, such that  $|T(\mathcal{S}_1)| = \alpha |\mathcal{S}_1|$  and  $|T(\mathcal{S}_2)| = \alpha |\mathcal{S}_2|$ .
- (iii)  $T$  transforms areas by the factor  $|ad - bc|$ ; that is, if  $\mathcal{R}$  is any region in the plane, then  $\text{area}(T(\mathcal{R})) = |ad - bc| \text{area}(\mathcal{R})$ .
- (iv) An affine transformation of the form  $T(x, y) = (ax + e, 2aex + a^2y + e^2)$  (so that  $b = 0, c = 2ae, d = a^2$ , and  $f = e^2$ ) maps the parabola with equation  $y = x^2$  onto itself. Moreover,  $T$  maps vertical lines to vertical lines and changes the lengths of segments of vertical lines by the factor  $a^2$ ; that is, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is any segment of a vertical line, then  $T(\mathcal{S})$  is also vertical and  $|T(\mathcal{S})| = a^2 |\mathcal{S}|$ .

**2. AREA PROPORTIONALITY FOR CUBICS.** Let us now look at the proportionality results of [1]. The line tangent to the curve  $y = x^3$  at the point  $(a, a^3)$ , with  $a$  nonzero, intersects the curve at one other point, with coordinates  $(-2a, -8a^3)$ . The line and the curve thus enclose a region  $\mathcal{R}(a)$  with area  $\mathcal{A}(a)$ . Repeat this process, drawing the line tangent to the curve at  $(-2a, -8a^3)$ . This line intersects the curve again at  $(4a, 64a^3)$  and encloses a region  $\mathcal{R}(-2a)$  with area  $\mathcal{A}(-2a)$ . In [1] it is shown that the ratio  $\mathcal{A}(-2a)/\mathcal{A}(a)$  of these areas is equal to 16, independent of the choice of  $a$ . We can prove this using affine transformations.

**Lemma.**  $\mathcal{A}(-2a)/\mathcal{A}(a) = 16$ , for all nonzero values of  $a$ .

*Proof.* For any constant  $k$ , the affine transformation  $T(x, y) = (kx, k^3y)$  maps the curve  $y = x^3$  onto itself, since  $T(x, x^3) = (kx, (kx)^3)$ . By property (iii),  $T$  changes areas by a factor of  $k^4$ . Taking  $k = -2$ ,  $T$  also has the property that  $T(a, a^3) = (-2a, -8a^3)$ , thus mapping any point on the cubic to the point where the tangent line there again intersects the cubic. Hence, the region  $\mathcal{R}(a)$  is transformed into the region  $\mathcal{R}(-2a)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{A}(-2a)/\mathcal{A}(a) = k^4 = 16$  as claimed. ■

Similarly, if  $f(x)$  is any cubic polynomial with real coefficients and if  $(a, f(a))$  is not the inflection point on the graph of  $y = f(x)$ , then the line tangent to the graph at  $(a, f(a))$  will intersect the graph again at one other point. The tangent line at this second point also intersects the graph in one other place. Let  $\rho(a)$  denote the ratio of the areas of the two regions defined by these successive tangent lines. The following result holds.

**Theorem 1.** [1, Theorem 1] Let  $f(x)$  be any cubic polynomial with real coefficients. Then  $\rho(a) = 16$  provided that  $(a, f(a))$  is not the inflection point on the graph of  $y = f(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + D$  be an arbitrary cubic polynomial with real coefficients. The affine transformation

$$T(x, y) = \left( x - \frac{B}{3A}, \left( C - \frac{B^2}{3A} \right) x + Ay + \frac{2B^3}{27A^2} - \frac{BC}{3A} + D \right)$$

transforms the graph of  $y = x^3$  into the graph of  $y = f(x)$  and maps the inflection point  $(0, 0)$  of the first graph to the inflection point of the second graph. Moreover, by the properties of affine transformations,  $T$  transforms successive tangent lines on one graph to those on the other graph, and transforms areas of all regions by a factor of  $|A|$ . Hence, *ratios* of areas of corresponding regions are the same for both graphs, namely 16. ■

**3. AFFINE EQUIVALANCE OF POLYNOMIALS.** To examine the effect of affine transformations on polynomial curves, we begin with a definition.

**Definition.** Two real polynomials,  $p$  and  $q$ , of the same degree  $n$  are *affinely equivalent* if there exists an affine transformation  $T$  such that  $T(x, p(x))$  has the form  $(\tilde{x}, q(\tilde{x}))$  for every real number  $x$ . Such a  $T$  is called an *affine equivalence* between  $p$  and  $q$ .

For example, in the proof of Theorem 1 we saw that every cubic polynomial is affinely equivalent to  $x^3$ . Similarly, if  $q(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C$ , then  $q(x - B/(2A)) = Ax^2 - B^2/(4A) + C$ . Therefore, the affine transformation  $T(x, y) = (x - B/(2A), Ay - B^2/(4A) + C)$  transforms the parabola  $y = x^2$  into the graph of  $q$ . Thus, every quadratic polynomial is affinely equivalent to  $x^2$ , and, hence, to every other quadratic.

For polynomials of degree  $n \geq 4$ , we begin with a simplification. Observe that, if  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j x^j$ , then the polynomial  $p_1(x) = (1/\alpha_n)\{p(x - \alpha_{n-1}/(n\alpha_n)) - p(-\alpha_{n-1}/(n\alpha_n))\}$  is a translation and dilation of  $p$  and, hence, is affinely equivalent to  $p$ . Moreover,  $p_1$  is monic, has no  $x^{n-1}$  term, and satisfies  $p_1(0) = 0$ . In light of this, we investigate the affine equivalence of two polynomials,  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j x^j$  and  $q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j x^j$ , where  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\alpha_n = \beta_n = 1$ ,  $\alpha_{n-1} = \beta_{n-1} = 0$ , and  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$ .

Let  $T(x, y) = (ax + by + e, cx + dy + f)$  be an affine transformation with  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . We seek conditions on the coefficients so that  $q(ax + bp(x) + e) = cx + dp(x) + f$  for all  $x$ . The left-hand side of this equation has a leading term of  $(bx^n)^n$  while the leading term on the right-hand side is  $dx^n$ . It follows that  $b = 0$  and that the remaining coefficients satisfy  $q(ax + e) = cx + dp(x) + f$ . Equating the leading coefficients on both sides of this condition yields the equation  $d = a^n$ , while comparing the coefficients of  $x^{n-1}$  results in the requirement that  $na^{n-1}e = 0$ . As  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , but  $b = 0$ , it follows that  $a \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $e = 0$ . This simplifies the condition on  $T$  to

$$q(ax) = cx + dp(x) + f. \tag{1}$$

Computing the first and second derivatives of (1) yields

$$aq'(ax) = c + dp'(x) \quad \text{and} \quad a^2q''(ax) = dp''(x). \tag{2}$$

Substituting  $x = 0$  into (1) and (2), we get

$$q(0) = f, \quad aq'(0) = c + dp'(0), \quad \text{and} \quad a^2q''(0) = dp''(0). \tag{3}$$

In terms of the coefficients of  $p$  and  $q$ , we thus have  $b = e = f = 0$ ,  $d = a^n$ ,  $c = a\beta_1 - d\alpha_1$ , and  $\beta_2 = a^{n-2}\alpha_2$ . If this last condition can be solved for  $a$ , then it also is possible to compute  $c$  and  $d$  and, hence, the polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  are affinely equivalent.

In order to solve  $\beta_2 = a^{n-2}\alpha_2$  for  $a$ , there are several cases to consider. First, if either  $\alpha_2$  or  $\beta_2$  is 0, then the other must be 0 as well. If neither of these coefficients is 0, and if  $n$  is odd, then we can solve for  $a = (\beta_2/\alpha_2)^{1/(n-2)}$ . If  $\beta_2$  and  $\alpha_2$  are nonzero and  $n$  is even, then  $a^{n-2} > 0$  so  $\beta_2$  and  $\alpha_2$  must have the same sign, in which case  $a = (\beta_2/\alpha_2)^{1/(n-2)}$ . We have thus established the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** There are exactly three affine equivalence classes of polynomials of even degree  $n \geq 4$ . The polynomials  $x^n + x^2$ ,  $x^n$ , and  $x^n - x^2$  are representatives of the three classes. For polynomials of odd degree  $n \geq 4$ , there are but two affine equivalence classes, represented by  $x^n + x^2$  and  $x^n$ .

**4. PROPORTIONALITY OF ARCS AND CHORDS OF A PARABOLA.** Turning now to the proportionality results in [3], consider the parabola  $y = x^2$ . For given positive numbers  $a$  and  $m$ , define an affine transformation  $T$  by

$$T(x, y) = (ax + m, 2amx + a^2y + m^2).$$

According to properties (iii) and (iv),  $T$  maps the parabola onto itself and transforms areas by a factor of  $a^3$ . Also,  $T$  maps the origin  $(0, 0)$  to the point  $(m, m^2)$ , where the line  $y = mx$  intersects the parabola.

Let  $p_0 = (0, 0)$  and define  $p_n = T(p_{n-1})$  for each natural number  $n$ . Then  $T$  maps the parabolic arc and the chord connecting the points  $p_{n-1}$  and  $p_n$  to the arc and the chord connecting the points  $p_n$  and  $p_{n+1}$ . It follows that the area of the region enclosed by the second arc and chord is  $a^3$  times the area of the region enclosed by the first arc and chord. Thus, the number  $a^3$  here takes the place of the proportionality constant  $k$  in [3].

Before looking at the lengths of the parabolic arcs and chords just mentioned, notice that the  $x$ -coordinate of the point  $p_n$ , denoted by  $a_n$  in keeping with [3], is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= a(\cdots(a(a(a \cdot 0 + m) + m) + m) \cdots) + m \\
&= m(a^{n-1} + \cdots + a + 1) \\
&= \begin{cases} mn, & \text{if } a = 1, \\ m \left( \frac{1 - a^n}{1 - a} \right), & \text{if } a \neq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the result in [3], keeping in mind that  $k = a^3$  now. Also, for  $0 < a < 1$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = m(1 - a)^{-1}$ , while for  $a \geq 1$ ,  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

As in [3], let  $d_n$  denote the length of the chord connecting  $p_n$  to  $p_{n+1}$ , and let  $L_n$  denote the length of the parabolic arc connecting these same two points. We handle separately the two cases  $a \geq 1$  and  $0 < a < 1$ .

If  $a \geq 1$ , the points  $p_n$  go off to infinity along the parabola, so the successive chords and arcs become increasingly vertical as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By property (iv), the affine transformation  $T$  maps vertical segments to vertical segments and changes the lengths of such segments by the factor  $a^2$ . It follows, therefore, that the ratios  $L_{n+1}/L_n$  and  $d_{n+1}/d_n$  both tend to the limiting value  $a^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is the result we found in [3].

The case where  $0 < a < 1$  requires only a bit more effort. In this case, the points  $p_n$  converge to the point  $p_* = (m(1 - a)^{-1}, m^2(1 - a)^{-2})$ , which is a fixed point for  $T$ . Indeed,  $T(p_*) = T(\lim p_n) = \lim T(p_n) = \lim p_{n+1} = p_*$ . It follows that the line  $\mathcal{L}$  tangent to the parabola at  $p_*$  is mapped onto itself by  $T$ . The equation for  $\mathcal{L}$  is

$$y = \left( \frac{2m}{1 - a} \right) x - \frac{m^2}{(1 - a)^2},$$

and a not-too-tedious calculation shows that  $T$  multiplies the lengths of segments of  $\mathcal{L}$  by the factor  $a$ .

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , both the arc and the chord connecting  $p_n$  to  $p_{n+1}$  are approximated by segments of the line  $\mathcal{L}$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_{n+1}}{L_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{n+1}}{d_n} = a.$$

This agrees with [3] and completes the main result there.

## REFERENCES

1. Herbert I. Brown, James W. Burgmeier, and David S. Dummit, Functions whose successive tangent lines enclose proportional areas, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **103** (1996) 779–787.
2. Sherman Stein, *Archimedes: What Did He Do Besides Cry Eureka?*, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C., 1999.
3. Timothy G. Feeman and Osvaldo Marrero, Sequences of chords and of parabolic segments enclosing proportional areas, *College Math. J.* **31** (2000) 379–382.

Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085-1699

timothy.feeman@villanova.edu

osvaldo.marrero@villanova.edu